

CITY OF NEWNAN, GEORGIA
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING

FEBRUARY 28, 2023

The regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Newnan, Georgia was held on Tuesday, February 28, 2023 at 6:30 p.m. in the Richard A. Bolin Council Chambers of City Hall with Mayor Keith Brady presiding.

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Brady called the meeting to order and delivered the invocation.

PRESENT

Mayor Keith Brady: Council members present: Ray DuBose, Rhodes Shell, George Alexander, Cynthia Jenkins, Dustin Koritko and Paul Guillaume. Also present: City Manager, Cleatus Phillips; Assistant City Manager, Hasco Craver; City Clerk, Megan Shea and City Attorney, Brad Sears.

MINUTES – REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING – FEBRUARY 14, 2023

Motion by Councilman DuBose, seconded by Councilman Alexander to dispense with the reading of the minutes of the Regular Council meeting on February 14, 2023 and adopt them as presented.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

NEWNAN YOUTH COUNCIL ATTENDEES

Councilwoman Jenkins introduced the members of Youth Council who were in attendance. Nysha Reddy, Jenna Mollner, Rees Poulakis and Lauren Johnson.

APPOINTMENT – CULTURAL ARTS COMMISSION, 3 YEAR TERM

Continue to next agenda.

APPOINTMENT – KEEP NEWNAN BEAUTIFUL, INTERIM APPOINTMENT

Continue to next agenda.

APPOINTMENTS – PARKS COMMISSION, 3 YEAR TERMS

Continue to next agenda.

APPOINTMENTS – TREE COMMISSION, 3 YEAR TERMS

Continue to next agenda.

APPOINTMENT – NEWNAN YOUTH ACTIVITIES, 3 YEAR TERM

Continue to next agenda.

CONSIDERATION OF BUDGET FOR AYRSHIRE FIDDLE ORCHESTRA VISIT

Mayor Brady explained that our sister city is Ayr, Scotland and we are hosting the Ayrshire Fiddle Orchestra in July for the 25th Anniversary of our sister city relationship. The budget has been put together by the Ayrshire Fiddle Orchestra Committee. The County is also contributing as they are a sister County as well.

Motion by Councilman Alexander, seconded by Councilman DuBose to approve the budget as presented.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

REQUEST BY ROBERT BERGMANN ON BEHALF OF THOMAS LAND & DEVELOPMENT, LLC; TO FILE A NEW REZONING APPLICATION FOR 8.44 + ACRES IN ASHLEY PARK AT 440 NEWNAN CROSSING BYPASS

Tracy Dunnavant, Planning Director, explained that in August 2022 Ashley Park had submitted a rezoning application to allow for more residential units and that was denied. The City ordinance requires 12 months before an application can be re-submitted unless Council approved. The applicant is requesting to file a new rezoning application after 6 months that will be for retail and no residential.

Councilman Koritko asked if the new application will go through the entire process again and Ms. Dunnavant said yes.

Motion by Councilman DuBose, seconded by Councilman Alexander to approve the request as presented.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

PUBLIC HEARING – CONSIDERATION OF SEVERAL ZONING AND SUBDIVISION REGULATION TEXT AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLES 2, 3, 4 AND 13 OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE AND SECTION 11 OF THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS

Mayor Brady opened the public hearing.

Dean Smith, Senior Planner, stated that these items were brought to two Planning Commission meetings. This is an annual process where the zoning ordinance is looked at for changes and things to be more streamlined.

The first item that came up was cargo containers. In the past 2 years there have been more requests for cargo containers as residential and commercial structures and the current zoning ordinance does not address this in detail. This would not allow them in residential and if they are going to be a principal use as a commercial structure then it would involve public input. There is some existing language in the ordinance regarding commercial accessory use and this acknowledges that as well.

The next article looks at townhouses in the RUI district and moves them from allowed to special exception which would involve a public hearing. Townhomes are considered multi-family in the ordinance, as are duplex's which are special exception. This would align both under RUI.

Councilman Alexander asked if the public hearings would be before Council? Mr. Smith stated no, it would be before Planning Commission or Board of Zoning Appeals depending on the zoning. For example, townhomes would go before Zoning Appeals in the RUI zoning. Previously they have just been an allowed use.

Mayor Brady asked if after the advertised public hearing with Planning or Zoning would any of these come to Council? Mr. Smith stated no, it is not written that way but that could be changed as well. Council discussed if these would go to Planning Commission or Zoning Appeals first and then come to Council or just come straight to Council. City Manager suggested that this may need to be delayed to have further discussions. The only special exceptions that currently come to Council are developments of regional impact and telecommunication towers. City Attorney said there are numerous special exceptions that the Board of Zoning Appeals hears and this is just adding one.

Mayor Brady asked if anyone from the public wanted to speak on this issue and no one came forward. Mayor Brady closed the public hearing.

Councilwoman Jenkins asked why containers are being prohibited as an accessory structure in residential, as she has seen good uses of them as a backyard office. Mr. Smith stated that it depends on the situation and sizes of the containers and the concern discussed with Planning Commission was that these are a detriment to the neighborhood based on ones they have seen or have been proposed.

Councilwoman Jenkins then asked about language regarding recreational vehicles and shelters. Mr. Smith explained that this came from an extended code enforcement case last year where someone placed a camper on vacant land and was living out of it. The language about shelters was to further define that in the ordinance.

Councilman Koritko asked about the multi-family apartment use in article 4. Mr. Smith explained that the current ordinance says a group development shall consist of two or more buildings containing a residential use and was intended for multi-family apartments. Now residential developments are using this as a loop hole and building single family detached as a group development build to rent, so this is to correct that. This would state that a group development can either apply for apartments, commercial or industrial.

City Manager said that in his 23 years with the City he only recalls the group development option being used for Ashley Park Shopping Center. Because there are other tools now such as mixed-use development and planned development options, this could possibly be deleted from the ordinance altogether.

Council asked if this can be brought back to the next meeting. Mr. Phillips said that the articles could be split up over a few meetings.

Motion by Councilman Shell, seconded by Councilman Alexander to continue this item to the next agenda.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

PUBLIC HEARING – ANNEXATION/REZONING REQUEST – ANNEX2022-05 BY JEFF ANTHONY ON BEHALF OF LENNAR GEORGIA, LLC; 31.79 + ACRES AT 521 LOWER FAYETTEVILLE ROAD (TAX PARCEL #087 5011 001) REQUESTED ZONING OF PDR (PLANNED DEVELOPMENT RESIDENTIAL) – CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCES

Mayor Brady recused himself due to a business relationship with the property owner. Mayor Pro Tem Koritko took over the meeting and opened the public hearing.

Tracy Dunnavant, Planning Director, explained that the site was the old Caldwell Tanks building and the original request was to develop a 148-unit subdivision. This has been amended to 140 units with 83 single-family and 57 townhomes and the tract on the northside will be limited to 6 single-family detached residential units. The product is similar to the homes being built in Braemore in Calumet. Average prices will be \$300,000's for townhomes and \$400,000's for detached units with amenities such as walking trails, green space and covered pavilion.

Ms. Dunnavant gave a summary of the 8 required standards. The tracts are surrounded by residential properties with one adjacent parcel that is undeveloped and zoned commercial. The proposed project would have 4.6 units per acre and surrounding developments range from 2.5 units per acre to 10 units per acre for the Newnan Lakes Apartment complex.

Staff feels the use would be suitable given the mixture of residential uses and densities in the area. The greatest impact from this development would be traffic. The applicant is currently working on a traffic impact statement and once the City receives that they will determine what, if any, mitigation should take place. The applicant has proposed a 25-foot buffer between the development and existing residences and that is 15' more than required.

The property is currently zoned RC in the County, which would allow up to 50 lots if the site received CSD approval, so the property could be used as currently zoned but with development costs that may not be feasible.

All of the service providers have reviewed the development and indicated that there would be some impact, but it would not be excessive. The properties are outside of city limits and so the City's Comprehensive Plan could not be considered, however, staff does feel this would be consistent with the County's Comprehensive Plan.

The development would be consistent with the purpose and intent of the proposed zoning district as the concept plan shows a mixture of residential uses, open space, housing elevations and specific amenities. The majority of the adjacent tracts in city limits are future “built community” and “planned development”, so, if brought in these properties would most likely be the same based on proposed future land use definitions.

Staff does feel the proposed use reflects a reasonable balance between the promotion of public health, safety, morality or general welfare and the right to unrestricted use of property. Overall, staff found the development met 6 of the 8 standards.

In accordance with annexation laws, the County was notified and they did file a Notice of Objection. The Department of Community Affairs (DCA) was asked to appoint an arbitration panel to hear the objection but after one of the panelists withdrew, the arbitration ended. The County did ask for 7 conditions to be placed on the subject property if the City moves forward. Also, the applicant is working with the City’s Landscape Architect regarding buffers and tree plantings.

At the December 2022 Planning Commission meeting, they voted unanimously to deny the annexation request. If the City Council decides to approve the request, staff suggests 5 conditions:

1. Project will be consistent with the concept plan, density, project data, amenities, square footages and elevations provided in the application.
2. Development will utilize an HOA to ensure the amenity areas, common spaces, stormwater management pond and buffers are maintained.
3. Developer will mitigate any traffic impacts that the City Engineer determines are warranted.
4. Not more than 20% of the development will be used for rental properties with the restriction being included in the HOA covenants and restrictions.
5. The 2.35 ± acre parcel shall be limited to six single-family detached residential homes.

Councilman Guillaume asked if the Planning Commission had the widened lot sizes presented to them and if so then why they voted no. Ms. Dunnavant stated they did have the lot sizes but they did not like the product at that time. The applicant has gone back and changed the product to bring to Council, Planning Commission has not seen the changes. Councilman Guillaume also expressed concern regarding right-in/right-out and making sure that is looked at with traffic mitigation.

Applicant:

Jeff Anthony with Lennar, explained that the problem the Planning Commission had was the affordable entry level product and asked if they could go with the same product at Braemore? They took that into consideration and now will be reproducing that product. They have changed from a 1-car garage to a 2-car garage, home sites are larger and have more character. They are catering more to a move up buyer.

In addition to the buffer at the front entrance they also agree to place a berm to help provide more of a buffer. There will be approximately a 1.5-acre amenity area. They have also agreed to reduce the rental cap from 20% to 10%. They have already gone ahead and demolished the large Caldwell Tanks building that was on the site and they feel this was a service to the community.

Councilman Alexander asked about the height of the berm? Mr. Anthony said the purpose is to shield the first floor, so probably 6ft. Councilman Guillaume asked about the depth of the driveways, can they stack 4 cars? Mr. Anthony said 2 cars total side by side but not 4.

Mayor Pro Tem Koritko asked about the bike path tying into the LINC? Mr. Anthony said it's planned on property but if there is a place to tie in they are open to that.

Opposition:

Frank Stripling, a long time Newnan resident and the first resident in the Hidden Lakes subdivision adjacent to the subject property. He asked about the section across on the other side of Lower Fayetteville Rd, if that is included in the density? Ms. Dunnavant stated that it is currently owned by Caldwell Tanks, both sides of the street. This developer will be building the portion on the South side and then another developer will build the 6 single-family homes on the North side and there is not a developer yet for that portion.

Mr. Stripling stated that he understands that growth happens but Lower Fayetteville Rd is already very busy. The growth has been tremendous over the last 10 years. He feels that less density is better.

Carlos Disla, homeowner in the Braemore subdivision built by Lennar. He stated that he is not happy with Lennar. There is a gas station going in next to the subdivision and the homeowners were not given any notification from the developer. The City said they sent notices to Lennar. He cautioned Council on doing business with this developer. He is unhappy that the land was zoned commercial and with the lack of communication from Lennar.

Nick Polsinelli, just moved into Newnan and is the last homeowner in the Braemore community. As a first-time home buyer, he was never informed throughout the process about the gas station going in. He questions the integrity of who is building here in Newnan. There are already two gas stations near the community, why is another one needed?

Steve Green, resident of Hidden Lakes, expressed concern for quality of life as the traffic already bad. Another concern is a lake that is behind their homes, what kind of impact will there be from this new subdivision?

John Ream, resident of Lakeshore subdivision, said that there is so much growth going on, maybe too much. There's noise from the highway. This doesn't add value to anyone that lives there now. He expressed concern for the tanks that were previously on the

subject property, is there any risk from that? City Manager said he believed the site had been mitigated but he would refer to the property owner or buyer for that.

Kenneth Woods, resident of Hidden Lakes subdivision, said that his opposition is due to traffic. He also agreed that the lake is a concern, they have done a lot of work on it. Maybe the soil should be tested on the subject site.

Stephen Burton, homeowner in Braemore, stated that the proposed community is very similar to Braemore. He is also concerned about the gas station being built. When he walks out his door he will be facing a gas station. Notice of the gas station was sent to Lennar but not to the homeowners. The lack of transparency from Lennar is a concern.

April Robinson, homeowner in Lakeshore community, stated that there are already a lot of renters. She's concerned about people moving out and companies buying the houses to rent. Traffic is already bad and this will impact it further.

Joe Emmons, resident in Hidden Lakes, said even with the reduction in the number of homes, it still doesn't make sense to develop.

No one else spoke in opposition. Mayor Pro Tem Koritko closed the public hearing. City Manager suggested that since the applicant did not use his full 15 minutes, he may want to speak in rebuttal, so the public hearing would need to be opened again. Mayor Pro Tem Koritko reopened the public hearing.

Councilman Guillaume asked the applicant to address the environmental concerns that were brought up. Mr. Anthony said they have great engineers and are more than happy to make sure any lakes are not polluted in any way. They will look at all aspects and ensure everything stays clean and they have done environmental studies already.

Mayor Pro Tem Koritko closed the public hearing.

Motion by Councilwoman Jenkins, seconded by Councilman Shell to accept the report from the Planning Commission.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

Councilman Guillaume reiterated that the builder has indicated that rentals are being taken from 20% to 10%. City Manager stated that the ordinance will have to be edited to reflect that.

Motion by Councilman Guillaume, seconded by Councilman Shell to approve the request for annexation as presented. Opposed: Jenkins, Koritko. 2nd and Final Reading next agenda.

MOTION CARRIED. (4-2)

Motion by Councilman Shell, seconded by Councilman Guillaume to approve the request for rezoning as presented with the same conditions. Opposed: Jenkins, Koritko. 2nd and Final Reading next agenda.

MOTION CARRIED. (4-2)

PUBLIC HEARING – REZONING REQUEST – RZ2022-14 BY GEORGE ROSENZWEIG ON BEHALF OF POPE AND LAND ENTERPRISES, INC.; 42.20 + ACRES ON POPLAR ROAD (TAX PARCEL #S 087 2005 001, 087 2005 002, 087 2005 003); CHANGE IN CONDITIONS OF ZONING AND AMENDMENT TO THE MASTER PLAN – CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE

Mayor Brady opened the public hearing.

Tracy Dunnavant explained that the property was zoned MXD in February 2022 with a plan to include multi-family, active adult senior units, townhomes, office space, hotel, retail/restaurant, parking decks and amphitheater. The new proposed plan would decrease commercial space to 31,500 square feet, replace the amphitheater with a village green, increase the overall amount of green space, increase hotel by 35 rooms and replace the majority of the parking decks with surface parking.

The applicant is seeking a cap of 20% of townhomes to be rental versus 10% that was previously approved. The applicant will also provide access to the future LINC segment, but will not be constructing that segment. Phasing for the project has been changed to specify that no multi-family or townhome shall receive a certificate of occupancy until 8,500 sq ft of retail space and one greenspace component are under construction. This property is bound by an existing DRI and the new developer will have to meet the conditions of that.

Ms. Dunnavant gave a summary of the 8 required standards. Staff felt the proposed use would be suitable in view of adjacent properties since Council just approved the MXD in February of last year and the uses have not changed. The greatest adverse impact would be traffic. However, the developer has provided a traffic assessment that shows a decrease in daily trips generated due to the reduction in office and commercial space. The property could be used as currently zoned. The project will have an impact on services, but the revised plan will create less of an impact than what was previously approved and all service providers have indicated that they can provide service.

The project would be consistent with the purpose and intent of the proposed zoning and the use would be consistent with what has already been approved and nothing has changed since the Comprehensive Plan update in 2021. Staff does feel the request shows a reasonable balance as a mixed-use development was already approved for this site. Overall, staff found that the development met 7 of the 8 standards.

The Planning Commission voted unanimously to approve the rezoning at their meeting in January, with the following conditions.

1. The project will be consistent with the concept plan and all details in the application.

2. The property will be placed in the Quality Development Corridor Overlay (QDC) district to ensure all commercial and office buildings meet overlay standards.
3. Elevations related to the apartment and townhome developments will be approved by the Planning Commission through the Certificate of Appropriateness Process.
4. A management entity will be created to ensure all common areas, buffers, amenities, etc. are maintained.
5. The developer will be required to meet all conditions specified in the DRI Notice of Decision and recommended by the Director of Engineering.
6. A Development Agreement between the Developer and the City shall be prepared to address phasing and construction and shall be subject to City Council approval prior to the issuance of building permits.
7. The proposed second entrance off of Poplar Road must receive GDOT and Coweta County approval.
8. The wording "8,500 sf of retail space" in proffered condition number 7 shall be amended to state a minimum of "15,000 square feet of retail or office space or a combination of retail and office space".

Applicant:

George Rosenzweig representing the applicant, Pope & Land Enterprises, explained that this is not a rezoning application as the property was already rezoned. The problem is that the rezoning was tied to a specific site plan and conditions and when that deal didn't go through, it wasn't practical for another developer to try and use that same plan. The applicant has some conditions that they would like changed for their plan. The development will be less dense, less traffic, better design and more green space.

The developer's track record includes The Battery in Atlanta. Mr. Rosenzweig introduced the members of the team that would be speaking about different aspects of the plan.

Tom Barranco with Pope & Land, stated that they are very excited for this project. They have been active and invested in the community here for 20 years. The bulk of the development that they do is mixed use.

Bob Hughes with HGOR walked through the plan and changes that have been made. He showed a comparison between the previous plan and the one they are proposing. Then he showed image renderings. They are also proposing a dog park as part of the community.

Councilwoman Jenkins asked about the height of the office buildings? Mr. Hughes said they are 4 stories maximum. Councilwoman Jenkins asked about feet as previously a cap had been discussed. Ms. Dunnavant verified that it is 85 feet in the I-85 corridor. Councilwoman Jenkins asked about the parking deck, height and placement. Mr. Hughes said it's 3 stories and they flipped the placement of the deck so that it's approximate to the office.

Councilman Guillaume asked if the parking deck is based on the commercial space? Mr. Barranco explained that there are 3 office buildings in the plan but initially if you build 1 building to start then there could just be surface parking. This plan gives them flexibility so they can adjust.

Mayor Brady asked how long until they are ready for design approval? Mr. Barranco said they hope soon. They have their own strict guidelines regarding design and then they would combine with features in the City ordinance.

Councilman Alexander asked if the active adult units are also rental and Mr. Barranco confirmed yes. The Councilman then asked Ms. Dunnavant who attended the Planning Commission meeting when they voted unanimously and she stated 5 members.

Councilwoman Jenkins asked if they would be developing this or would they have partners? Mr. Barranco said they would be the master developer but they don't do residential so they would engage a partner for that. They would do the office and probably the retail.

Mayor Pro Tem Koritko asked about providing access to the future LINC segment. Mr. Barranco explained that instead of 1 trail, they want to invite people to walk through the whole project. So, the whole thing would be a connection point from the North down to Poplar Rd. They disagreed with the previous condition in regards to this. They are also not asking for public money as the previous plan did. Mr. Barranco also confirmed there would not be connection over Poplar Rd.

Zack Bell spoke for the project. He is a recent Newnan resident who just moved into Stillwood Farms. He is a daily commuter who works for Delta. He struggled to find a roommate because a lot of people said there is nothing in Newnan. Mr. Bell sees that this would a great opportunity for the community, to have a place for young people to go, have easy access to work for those working at Piedmont Newnan. He thinks the proposed roundabout at Newnan Crossing Bypass and Stillwood will help with traffic. He did ask about police presence with all the on-street parking and being close to the interstate. Mayor Brady stated that that is always the number 1 issue looked at and Pope & Land may have their own security on site.

Eric Thomas, lives in Summergrove, spoke in favor of the project. He sees it as an improvement over the previous plan. He thinks if they provided an actual pathway for the LINC trail would be good. He expressed concerns about the connectivity to Mercantile Drive which is very congested. Increasing traffic there could be problematic.

Opposition:

Jennifer Petrino spoke against the project. She stated she spoke last time against this as well. This is an improvement over the previous proposal and Pope & Land will probably do a good job. She expressed concern though because of the size with residential. She thinks Newnan is becoming the city of apartments. What are the chances that the

apartments are built and then not the rest of the project? She also expressed concerns for traffic. She would have preferred to see less apartments and more retail.

Mayor Brady closed the public hearing.

Motion by Councilman Alexander, seconded by Councilman Shell to accept the report from the Planning Commission.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

Councilwoman Jenkins asked about restrictions on types of businesses? Hasco Craver responded and said there are definitions as to fast casual and fast food, those are still in the proposal from the previous plan. Councilwoman Jenkins agreed with the comment about the LINC coming through and being clearly labeled and would like to see that addressed. Mr. Barranco said they are happy to do that.

Councilman Guillaume asked why is it important to have mixed-use developments with the majority being multi-family living? Mr. Barranco said it's a proven fact that the rooftops, the number of people, are what carry retail and using the amenities. The multi-family piece is needed to make the whole thing successful. The product is high quality and that is also reiterated in the ordinance with the Quality Overlay District so that can't be compromised.

Mayor Pro Tem Koritko asked why they are increasing the cap of rentals to 20%? Mr. Barranco said it's the market in their opinion at this location. This is a big location for traveling nurses. They also think it's better to ask for this upfront and not have to come back later. Mayor Pro Tem Koritko stated he also likes the LINC idea but then the LINC would end at Poplar Rd. and there would be no connection with Piedmont. Have there been any discussions with Piedmont? Mr. Barranco stated that is public right of way so there would have to be discussions with GDOT and Coweta County.

Councilwoman Jenkins asked about activation of the space, as this was discussed last time. Have they thought about partnerships for this? Mr. Barranco said they have not thought of any specific partnerships but they know there is an expectation especially with all the greenspaces that it will be activated by public use.

Motion by Councilman Shell, seconded by Councilman DuBose to approve the request as presented with proffered conditions including the LINC connection. Opposed: Alexander, Koritko, Guillaume. 2nd and Final Reading next agenda.

MOTION CARRIED. (4-3)

REQUEST FROM PARKS OF OLMSTED TO PUT UP BANNERS FOR
NEIGHBORHOOD GARAGE SALE, APRIL 9-15

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Koritko, seconded by Councilman Guillaume to approve the request as presented.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

REQUEST FROM SECOND TO NONE TO CLOSE FRESH BRU DRIVE, MARCH 17-19
FOR REMOTE CONTROL MONSTER TRUCK EVENT

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Koritko, seconded by Councilman Guillaume to approve the request as presented.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

EXECUTIVE SESSION

MOTION EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Koritko, seconded by Councilman Alexander that we now enter into closed session as allowed by O.C.G.A. Section 50-14-4 and pursuant to advice by the City Attorney, for the purpose of discussing legal, real estate and personnel issues and that we move, in open session to adopt a resolution authorizing and directing the Mayor or presiding officer to execute an affidavit in compliance with O.C.G.A. Section 50-14-4, and that this body ratify the actions of the Council taken in closed session and confirm that the subject matters of the closed session were within exceptions permitted by the open meetings law at 8:36PM.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

RESOLUTION/MAYOR'S AFFIDAVIT FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion by Mayor Pro Tem Koritko, seconded by Councilwoman Jenkins to adopt the resolution authorizing the Mayor to execute the affidavit stating that the subject matter of the closed portion of the Council was within the exceptions provided by O.C.G.A. Section 50-14-4(b).

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

WASHINGTON CLAIM

Motion by Councilman Alexander, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Koritko to deny the claim as presented.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

INGRAM CLAIM

Motion by Councilman Alexander, seconded by Councilman Shell to deny the claim as presented.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Councilman Alexander, seconded by Mayor Pro Tem Koritko to adjourn the Council meeting at 9:20pm.

MOTION CARRIED. (7-0)

Megan Shea, City Clerk

Keith Brady, Mayor